

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,622	02/05/2004	Victor V. Germano	MCII 2 00003	4908
			· .	
		Victor V. Germano	EXAMINER	
	LAVILLA, N	LAVILLA, MICHAEL E		
CLEVELAND,	, OH 44114		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
,			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/772,622 GERMANO, VICTOR V.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael La Villa	1794
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was particularly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Se	eptember 2007.	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ice except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	;	
4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7,9,10 and 13-30 is	are withdrawn from consideration	on.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) 8, 11, 12, and 31-38 are subject to res	striction and/or election requirem	ent.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	t.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119/a)-(d) or (f)
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 55 5.5.5. 3 1 15(a)	, (a) 51 (i).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •	
application from the International Bureau		J
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.
Amadanaatta		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Λ Π Image (n - Δ - m	(DTO 440)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) LInterview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	

10/772,622 Art Unit: 1794

Election/Restrictions

- 1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Newly presented Claims 36, 37, and 38 relate to specific binder materials that have not been separately examined, i.e., inorganic silane, organofunctional silane, alkali metal silicate, organic silicate ester, ammonium silicate, and colloidal silica sol. The species are independent or distinct because they relate to different classes of materials that are not overlapping in scope.
- 2. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 8, 10, 11, 12, and 31-35 would be generic with respect to this election of species requirement in view of applicant's previous election of species requirements on other grounds.
- 3. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 4. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or

10/772,622 Art Unit: 1794

otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 6. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 7. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

CONCLUSION

10/772,622 Art Unit: 1794

- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael La Villa whose telephone number is (571) 272-1539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.
- 9. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael La Villa 24 November 2007

MICHAEL E. LAVILLA PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Cille